## Allegories and Bisimulations

A category-theoretic take on relations

## CMU HoTT Graduate Workshop Jacob Neumann February 2021

- Section 0: Allegories
- Section 1: Back-and-Forth
- Section 2: Modal Logic (time-permitting)

- Categories, Allegories (Freyd-Scedrov)
- Sketches of an Elephant (Johnstone)
- https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/allegory
- Wikipedia has a good article: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Allegory\_(mathematics)

# **0** Background: the Allegory of Relations

## Rel is a standard example of a category: Defn. Rel is the category whose

- objects are sets
- morphisms are binary relations:

$$\hom_{\mathsf{Rel}}(A,B) = \{R \mid R \subseteq A \times B\} = \mathcal{P}(A \times B)$$

• composition operation is given by

 $S \circ R = \{(a,c) \in A imes C \mid \exists b \in B \ (a,b) \in R \ \& \ (b,c) \in S\}$ 

for 
$$R \in \hom_{\mathsf{Rel}}(A, B), S \in \hom_{\mathsf{Rel}}(B, C)$$
.

### Set versus Rel

It is customary to regard **Set** as a subcategory of **Rel**: the inclusion functor takes a function  $f : A \to B$  to its graph  $\{(a, f(a)) \mid a \in A\} \subseteq A \times B$ , which we'll also call f:

 $f \in \hom_{\mathsf{Rel}}(A, B)$ 

## Set

- has all small limits and colimits
- has exponentials and a subobject classifier
- has cartesian closed slice categories

#### • Rel

• doesn't (for the most part)

#### **Pos**-enrichment

Notice:

$$\hom_{\mathsf{Rel}}(A,B) = \mathcal{P}\left(A \times B\right)$$

- ⊆ is a partial order on hom<sub>Rel</sub>(A, B):
  For all R ∈ hom<sub>Rel</sub>(A, B), R ⊆ R
  For all R, R', R'' ∈ hom<sub>Rel</sub>(A, B), if R ⊆ R' and R' ⊆ R'', then R ⊆ R''
  If R ⊆ R' and R' ⊆ R, then R = R'
  ⊆ is compatible with composition: for R : A → B, S, S' : B → C and
  - $T: C \rightarrow D$  in **Rel**,

$$egin{array}{lll} S\subseteq S'&\Longrightarrow&(S\circ R)\subseteq (S'\circ R)\ S\subseteq S'&\Longrightarrow&(T\circ S)\subseteq (T\circ S') \end{array}$$

#### **Pos**-valued representables

The previous slide can be summarized as asserting that the following are functors for any set C:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \hom_{\mathsf{Rel}}(-,C): \operatorname{\mathsf{Rel}}^{\operatorname{op}} \to \operatorname{\mathsf{Pos}} \\ & : A & \mapsto (\hom_{\mathsf{Rel}}(A,C),\subseteq) \\ & : R \subseteq A \times B & \mapsto (- \circ R): (\hom_{\mathsf{Rel}}(B,C),\subseteq) \to (\hom_{\mathsf{Rel}}(A,C),\subseteq) \\ & \hom_{\mathsf{Rel}}(C,-): \operatorname{\mathsf{Rel}} \to \operatorname{\mathsf{Pos}} \\ & : D & \mapsto (\hom_{\mathsf{Rel}}(C,D),\subseteq) \\ & : U \subseteq D \times E & \mapsto (U \circ -): (\hom_{\mathsf{Rel}}(C,D),\subseteq) \to (\hom_{\mathsf{Rel}}(C,E),\subseteq) \end{array}$ 

#### We have the meets

Given  $R, R' \in \hom_{\mathsf{Rel}}(A, B)$ ,

 $R \cap R' \in \hom_{\mathsf{Rel}}(A, B)$ 

This satisfies some nice properties, e.g.

• 
$$S \circ (R \cap R') \subseteq (S \circ R) \cap (S \circ R')$$

• 
$$(S \cap S') \circ R \subseteq (S \circ R) \cap (S' \circ R)$$

•  $R \cap R = R$ ,  $R \cap R' = R' \cap R$ ,  $R \cap (R' \cap R'') = (R \cap R') \cap R''$ 

Also: nullary intersections  $(A \times B \in \hom_{Rel}(A, B))$ , infinitary intersections, binary and infinitary unions, nullary unions (the empty relation), etc.

For any  $R \in \hom_{\mathsf{Rel}}(A, B)$ ,

$$R^{\dagger} := \{(b, a) \mid (a, b) \in R\} \in \hom_{\mathsf{Rel}}(B, A)$$

Defn. A dagger category  $\mathbb{C}$  is a category equipped with a contravariant endofunctor  $\dagger : \mathbb{C}^{op} \to \mathbb{C}$  such that

- † is the identity on objects
- † is an involution:  $\dagger \circ \dagger = id_{\mathbb{C}}$

For any functions  $f, f' : A \rightarrow B$ ,

- $f \subseteq f'$  if and only if f = f'
- $f \cap f'$  is only a function if f = f' (in which case  $f \cap f' = f = f'$ )
- $f^{\dagger}$  is only a function if f is a bijection

### Allegories

#### Defn. An allegory $\mathbb{C}$ is a category equipped with

- A poset structure ≤ on each hom-set which is compatible with composition (i.e. the function (- ∘ -) : hom<sub>C</sub>(B, C) × hom<sub>C</sub>(A, B) → hom<sub>C</sub>(A, C) is monotone)and has binary meets (for any R, R' ∈ hom<sub>C</sub>(A, B), R ∧ R' is the greatest lower bound of R and R')
- An involution  $\dagger:\mathbb{C}^{\mathsf{op}}\to\mathbb{C}$

such that

10

- Involution distributes over meets:  $(R \wedge R')^{\dagger} = R^{\dagger} \wedge R'^{\dagger}$
- Composition semi-distributes over meets:

 $egin{aligned} S \circ (R \wedge R') &\leq (S \circ R) \wedge (S \circ R') \ (S \wedge S') \circ R &\leq (S \circ R) \wedge (S' \circ R) \end{aligned}$ 

• The modular law is satisfied:

$$({\it S}\circ{\it R})\wedge{\it T}\leq ({\it S}\wedge({\it T}\circ{\it R}^{\dagger}))\circ{\it R}$$

#### Rel is an allegory

For any  $R \subseteq A \times B$ ,  $S \subseteq B \times C$  and  $T \subseteq A \times C$ :  $(a, c) \in (S \circ R) \cap T \iff (a, c) \in S \circ R$  and  $(a, c) \in T$  $\iff \exists b \in B \ (a, b) \in R$  and  $(b, c) \in S$  and  $(a, c) \in T$ 

$$(a, b) \in R$$
 and  $(a, c) \in T \iff (b, a) \in R^{\dagger}$  and  $(a, c) \in T$   
 $\implies (b, c) \in T \circ R^{\dagger}$ 

 $(b,c) \in S$  and  $(b,c) \in T \circ R^{\dagger}$  and  $(a,b) \in R$   $\iff (b,c) \in S \cap (T \circ R^{\dagger})$  and  $(a,b) \in R$  $\implies (a,c) \in (S \cap (T \circ R^{\dagger})) \circ R$ 

11

Background: the Allegory of Relations

Note: if  $R \in \hom_{\mathbb{C}}(A, B)$  for some allegory  $\mathbb{C}$ ,

 $R \circ R^{\dagger} \in \hom_{\mathbb{C}}(B,B)$  and  $R^{\dagger} \circ R \in \hom_{\mathbb{C}}(A,A)$ 

Defn. A morphism  $R : A \rightarrow B$  in some allegory is said to be

- simple if  $R \circ R^{\dagger} \leq \operatorname{id}_{B}$ ,
- **cosimple** if  $R^{\dagger} \circ R \leq id_A$ ,
- entire if  $id_A \leq R^{\dagger} \circ R$ , and
- **coentire** if  $id_B \leq R \circ R^{\dagger}$ .

## The (co)simple and (co)entire morphisms of Rel

## Prop. A relation $R \in \hom_{\mathsf{Rel}}(A, B)$ is

- simple iff it is a partial function: (a, b) ∈ R and (a, b') ∈ R implies
   b = b'
- cosimple iff it is injective:  $(a,b)\in R$  and  $(a',b)\in R$  implies a=a'
- entire iff it is entire (or total): for all a ∈ A, there exists b ∈ B such that (a, b) ∈ R
- coentire iff it is surjective: for all  $b \in B$ , there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $(a, b) \in R$

Note **Set** is the subcategory of simple, entire relations

## Some general results (for an arbitrary allegory)

Prop. All isomorphisms are simple, cosimple, entire, and coentire
Prop. The class of simple morphisms, the class of cosimple morphisms, the class of entire morphisms, and the class of coentire morphisms are closed under composition

Prop. The class of (co)simple morphisms is downward closed:

 $R \leq R'$  and R' is (co)simple  $\implies$  R is (co)simple

Prop. The class of (co)entire morphisms is upward closed:

 $R \leq R'$  and R is (co)entire  $\implies$  R' is (co)entire

Prop. *R* is entire iff  $R^{\dagger}$  is coentire (and similarly for (co)simple)

### The allegory of internal relations of a regular category

We can "internalize" the notion of a relation: given a category  $\mathbb C$  with binary products, a subobject

$$\mathsf{R} \rightarrowtail A \times B$$

is an internal binary relation between A and B.

We can form the category  $\operatorname{Rel}(\mathbb{C})$  with the same objects as  $\mathbb{C}$ , and whose morphisms  $A \to B$  are internal binary relations between A and B. Thm. If  $\mathbb{C}$  is a regular category, then  $\operatorname{Rel}(\mathbb{C})$  is an allegory Prop. Set is a regular category, and  $\operatorname{Rel} = \operatorname{Rel}(\operatorname{Set})$ 

## **1** Allegories with Back-and-Forth Classes

#### Тор

16

Defn. A topology on a set X is a collection  $\tau \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  (the elements of  $\tau$  are called open subsets of X) such that

- $\emptyset, X \in \tau$
- If  $U, U' \in \tau$ , then  $U \cap U' \in \tau$
- If I is a set and  $U_i \in \tau$  for each  $i \in I$ ,

$$\left(\bigcup_{i\in I}U_i\right)\in\tau.$$

Defn. **Top** is the category whose

- objects are topological spaces: pairs (X, au) where au is a topology on X
- morphisms are continuous functions:  $f: (X, \tau_X) \to (Y, \tau_Y)$  is continuous if

$$U \in \tau_Y \implies f^{-1}(U) \in \tau_X$$

We can generalize this to relations:

**Defn.** Given topological spaces  $(A, \tau_A)$  and  $(B, \tau_B)$  and  $R \subseteq A \times B$ , R is said to be **continuous** if

$$U\in au_B \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad R^{-1\dagger}(U)\in au_A$$

where  $R^{\dagger}(U) = \{a \in A \mid (u, a) \in R^{\dagger} \text{ for some } u \in U\}.$ 

**Problem:** *R* continuous does not imply  $R^{\dagger}$  continuous, so the category of continuous relations (which *is* a category), is *not* an allegory.

## Defn. TopRel is the category whose

- objects are topological spaces  $(A, \tau_A)$
- morphisms  $R : (A, \tau_A) \to (B, \tau_B)$  are binary relations  $R \subseteq A \times B$ . (no assumptions on R ignore the topologies for now)

## Prop. **TopRel** is an allegory. Proof is identical to the proof that **Rel** is an allegory

Defn. Write Back for the class of continuous morphisms in TopRel Defn. Write Forth for the class of open morphisms in TopRel: morphisms  $R \in \hom_{TopRel}((A, \tau_A), (B, \tau_B))$  such that

$$U \in \tau_A \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad R(U) \in \tau_B.$$

- $R \in$ **Forth** if and only if  $R^{\dagger} \in$ **Back**
- Both Back and Forth are closed under composition and contain all Top-isos (homeomorphisms)
- **Top** is the subcategory of continuous, entire, simple **TopRel**-morphisms
- Quotient maps X → X / ~ in Top are open and coentire, but are only cosimple if ~ is identity
- A **TopRel**-iso (a bijection) is a **Top**-iso (a homeomorphism) iff it is in **Forth** and **Back**

**Defn.** A dynamic set is a pair (A, f) where A is a set and  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is a partial function (a simple **Rel**-endomorphism). **Defn. DynRel** is the allegory of dynamic sets and binary relations, whose back and forth classes are given by:

•  $R: (A, f) \rightarrow (B, g)$  is in Forth if

f(a) is defined and  $(a,b)\in R$   $\implies$  g(b) is defined and  $(f(a),g(b))\in R$ 

•  $R: (A, f) \rightarrow (B, g)$  is in **Back** if

g(b) is defined and  $(a, b) \in R \implies f(a)$  is defined and  $(f(a), g(b)) \in R$ 

**Defn.** For a set  $\Sigma$ , a  $\Sigma$ -dynamic set is a set A equipped with a  $\Sigma$ -indexed family of partial functions  $\{f_{\sigma} : A \rightharpoonup A\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ . **Defn.**  $\Sigma$ -DynRel is the allegory of  $\Sigma$ -dynamic sets and binary relations. For each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , there are classes of morphisms,  $\sigma$ -Forth and  $\sigma$ -Back, defined as above.

So, for each binary relation R, there is some subset  $\Pi \subseteq \Sigma$  of all those  $\sigma$  such that R is in  $\sigma$ -Forth (or  $\sigma$ -Back, or both).

## 2 Modal Logics and Bisimulation

Modal Logics and Bisimulation

The model theory of *classical logic* makes use of **valuations**: functions which "assign truth values" to atomic propositions

$$\mathsf{v}:\Phi
ightarrow\{0,1\}$$

We can generalize this somewhat:

$$v:\Phi
ightarrow\mathcal{P}\left(X
ight)$$

v(p) is the *extension* of p, or the set of "states where p is true".

### Dynamic Modal Logic

We can pair together a dynamic set (A, f) with a valuation  $v : \Phi \to \mathcal{P}(A)$  to get a dynamic model. Dynamic models *interpret* the language  $\mathcal{L}_{\bigcirc}$ :  $\varphi, \psi ::= \mathbf{p} \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi \land \psi \mid \bigcirc \varphi$  $(p \in \Phi)$ We define a function  $\llbracket - \rrbracket : \mathcal{L}_{\bigcirc} \to \mathcal{P}(A)$  recursively by  $\llbracket p \rrbracket = v(p)$  $(p \in \Phi)$  $\llbracket \neg \varphi \rrbracket = A \setminus \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket$  $\llbracket \varphi \land \psi \rrbracket = \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \cap \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$  $\llbracket \bigcirc \varphi \rrbracket = f^{-1}\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket$ 

Defn. A bisimulation between dynamic models  $(A, f, v_A)$  and  $(B, g, v_B)$  is a binary relation  $S \in \hom_{DynRel}((A, f), (B, g))$  in both the Forth and Back classes, which also satisfies the Base condition: for any  $(a, b) \in S$  and  $p \in \Phi$ ,

$$a \in v_A(p) \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad b \in v_B(p),$$

### **Bisimulation Invariance**

Thm. For dynamic models  $(A, f, v_A)$  and  $(B, g, v_B)$  and a bisimulation S between them,

• If 
$$(a, b) \in S$$
, then for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{\bigcirc}$ ,  
 $a \in \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_A \iff b \in \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_B$ 

• If S is **Entire**, then

$$\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_B = B \implies \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_A = A$$

• If *S* is **Coentire**, then

$$\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_A = A \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_B = B$$

$$\varphi, \psi ::= p \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi \land \psi \mid \bigcirc_{\sigma} \varphi$$
  $(p \in \Phi, \sigma \in \Sigma)$ 

$$\llbracket \bigcirc_{\sigma} \varphi \rrbracket = f_{\sigma}^{-1}\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket$$

For  $\Pi \subseteq \Sigma$ , a  $\Pi$ -bisimulation between  $\Sigma$ -dynamic models  $(A, \{f_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}, v_A)$ and  $(B, \{g_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}, v_B)$  is a relation satisfying **Base**, and  $\pi$ -Forth and  $\pi$ -Back for each  $\pi \in \Pi$ .

## Topological Modal Logic

We can instead use a topological structure to interpret  $\Box$ . Define  $\mathcal{L}_{\Box}$  by  $\varphi, \psi ::= p \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi \land \psi \mid \Box \varphi$   $(p \in \Phi)$ 

A topological model  $(A, \tau_A, v)$  interprets  $\mathcal{L}_{\Box}$ :

$$egin{aligned} & \llbracket oldsymbol{
ho} \rrbracket & = oldsymbol{
u}(oldsymbol{
ho}) \ & \llbracket 
aligned ~ & \llbracket arphi 
rbrace \rrbracket & = \llbracket arphi 
rbrace 
rbace 
rbrace$$

 $(p \in \Phi)$ 

where int denotes topological interior (with respect to  $\tau_A$ ).

## **Topo-bisimulations**

**Defn.** A **topo-bisimulation** between topological models  $(A, \tau_A, v_A)$  and  $(B, \tau_B, v_B)$  is a **TopRel**-morphism in **Forth** and **Back** (open & continuous) that satisfies **Base**. **Thm.** For topological models  $(A, \tau_A, v_A)$  and  $(B, \tau_B, v_B)$  and a bisimulation *S* between them.

• If 
$$(a, b) \in S$$
, then for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{\Box}$ ,  
 $a \in \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_A \iff b \in \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_B$ 

• If *S* is **Entire**, then

$$\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_B = B \implies \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_A = A$$

• If *S* is **Coentire**, then

$$\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_A = A \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_B = B$$

My research (in particular my master's thesis) explores bisimulations of **dynamic topological models**, which are models  $(A, \tau_A, \{f_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}, v_A)$  interpreting

$$\varphi, \psi ::= \mathbf{p} \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi \land \psi \mid \Box \varphi \mid \bigcirc_{\sigma} \varphi$$

with the appropriate notion of bisimulation.

This has an interesting philosophical interpretation if we read  $\Box \varphi$  as " $\varphi$  is knowably (or verifiably) true" and  $\bigcirc_{\sigma} \varphi$  as "after performing (or executing)  $\sigma$ ,  $\varphi$  holds".

Thank you!