# Nominal Sets and the Schanuel Topos

Fernando Larrain

September 28, 2022

## 1 Nominal Sets

## **1.1** Group Actions

Let G be a group. A G-set is a functor over G or, equivalently, a set X equipped with a left action  $\mu: G \times X \to X$  of G. An equivariant map between G-sets is a natural transformation between them or, equivalently, a function between their underlying sets that respects the action of G.

The category  $[G, \mathbf{Set}]$  of *G*-sets and equivariant maps is an elementary topos because it is a category of presheaves. Furthermore, it is boolean, so it supports classical higher order logic. The subobject classifier is given by the discrete *G*-set  $\mathbb{B} = \{\top, \bot\}$  (a *G*-set  $(X, \mu)$  is discrete when  $\mu = \pi_2$ ).

The exponentials in  $[G, \mathbf{Set}]$  are the exponentials in  $\mathbf{Set}$ , where the action of  $g \in G$  on a function  $\phi$  between G-sets is given by

$$(g \cdot \phi)(x) = g \cdot (\phi(g^{-1} \cdot x)).$$

In the particular case of powersets, this amounts to mapping subsets S of a G-set X to their image  $g \cdot S = \{g \cdot x \mid x \in X\}$ . If S is closed under the action of G, we say S is *equivariant*. These subsets are important because they correspond precisely to the subobjects of X. Also, quotients of G-sets by equivariant equivalence relations give rise to quotients in  $[G, \mathbf{Set}]$  in the obvious way.

## **1.2** Permutation Groups

Let A be a set. Then, Sym A denotes the symmetric group on A and Perm A, the subgroup of Sym A of finite permutations. A permutation  $\pi$  is said to be finite if  $\{a \in A \mid \pi(a) \neq a\}$  is.

Given  $a, a' \in A$ , we define (a a') as the finite permutation that swaps a and a' and leaves everything else unchanged. Such a permutation is called a *transposition*. Transpositions generate Perm A. In fact, for any finite permutation  $\pi$ , we can choose its factors (a a') so that

$$\pi(a) \neq a \neq a' \neq \pi(a').$$

i.e. so that they are neither degenerate nor redundant.

## 1.3 Nominal Sets

Fix a countably infinite set  $\mathbb{A}$ . The elements of  $\mathbb{A}$  will be called *atomic names*.

Given a Perm A-set X, we say that  $A \subseteq A$  supports  $x \in X$  if every permutation that fixes each element in A also fixes x:

$$(\forall a \in A)\pi(a) = a \implies \pi(x) = x.$$

In terms of transpositions, A supports x if

$$(\forall a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{A} - A)(a_1 a_2) \cdot x = x.$$

A fundamental property of the set of finite supports of x is that it is closed under binary intersections. In other words, given two finite sets  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , if both support x, then so does  $A_1 \cap A_2$ . To see this, fix  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{A} - (A_1 \cap A_2)$ . We want to show that  $(a_1 a_2) \cdot x = x$ . Notice that  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  might be in  $A_1$  or in  $A_2$ , so we cannot use our hypotheses directly. However, since  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are finite, we can find an "interpolant"  $a_3$  distinct from  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ that is neither in  $A_1$  nor  $A_2$  to factor the transposition as  $(a_1 a_2) = (a_1 a_3) \circ (a_2 a_3) \circ (a_1 a_3)$ (this presupposes that  $a_1 \neq a_2$ , but if that is not the case, we are done anyway). That the goal follows from the hypotheses is now evident.

A nominal set is a Perm A-set whose every element has finite support. For X a nominal set and  $x \in X$ , we let  $\operatorname{supp}_X(x)$  be the least finite  $A \subseteq \mathbb{A}$  supporting x (it exists because of the closure property just mentioned). Nom is the full subcategory of [Perm A, Set] spanned by the nominal sets. In fact, it is a coreflective subcategory of [Perm A, Set], i.e. its associated inclusion functor has a right-adjoint given on Perm A-sets X by

$$X_{\rm fs} = \{ x \in X \mid x \text{ is finitely supported} \}.$$

Furthermore, it is a boolean Grothendieck topos, as we show next. For the proof, we shall require a few basic results about nominal sets.

First, for any finite  $A \subseteq \mathbb{A}$ ,  $\operatorname{supp}(A) = A$ , so the set  $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{A})$  of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{A}$  is a nominal set.

Second, for any Perm A-set X, the function  $\operatorname{supp}_X : X \to \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{A})$  is equivariant, because it is definable in the internal logic of [Perm  $\mathbb{A}$ , **Set**].

Third, if  $f : X \to Y$  is an equivariant function, then it preserves support, i.e. if A supports  $x \in X$ , then A supports f(x).

## **1.4** A Category of Contexts and Renamings

Let  $\mathbb{I}$  be the category of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{A}$  and injections between them, with identities and compositions as in **Set**. We can think of  $\mathbb{I}^{\text{op}}$  as a category of *(naming) contexts* and *renamings* (contractions excluded).

**Proposition 1.** There is a functor  $I_* : \mathbf{Nom} \to [\mathbb{I}, \mathbf{Set}]$ .

*Proof.* Every nominal set X gives rise to a presheaf F on  $\mathbb{I}^{\text{op}}$ :

- 1. On contexts  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{I}$ , we put  $F(\Gamma) = \{x \in X \mid \operatorname{supp}(x) \subseteq \Gamma\}$ .
- 2. On renamings  $\Gamma \xrightarrow{\rho} \Delta$  in  $\mathbb{I}$ , we let  $F(\rho)(x) = \pi \cdot x$ , where  $\pi \in \operatorname{Perm} \mathbb{A}$  satisfies  $\pi \upharpoonright \Gamma = \rho$ .

Notice that every injection  $\rho : \Gamma \to \Delta$  in  $\mathbb{I}$  can be extended to a finite permutation  $\pi$  on  $\mathbb{A}$ , so that  $F(\rho)$  is always defined. Indeed, since  $\Gamma \cong \operatorname{im} \rho$ ,

$$\begin{split} |\mathrm{im}\,\rho-\Gamma| &= |\mathrm{im}\,\rho| - |\Gamma\cap\mathrm{im}\,\rho|,\\ &= |\Gamma| - |\Gamma\cap\mathrm{im}\,\rho|,\\ &= |\mathrm{im}\,\rho-\Gamma|, \end{split}$$

so there is a bijection  $\rho': (\operatorname{im} \rho - \Gamma) \to (\Gamma - \operatorname{im} \rho)$  and one can set

$$\pi(a) = \begin{cases} \rho(a) & a \in \Gamma, \\ \rho'(a) & a \in \operatorname{im} \rho - \Gamma, \\ a & \operatorname{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, the behavior of  $F(\rho)$  does not depend on the choice of extension  $\pi$ . Indeed, if  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  extend  $\rho$ , then  $\pi^{-1} \circ \pi'$  fixes each element of  $\Gamma$ , which supports every  $x \in F(\Gamma)$ , so that  $(\pi^{-1} \circ \pi') \cdot x = x$  and hence  $\pi' \cdot x = \pi \cdot x$ .

Lastly,  $\operatorname{supp}(F(\rho)(x)) = \operatorname{supp}(\pi \cdot x) = \pi \cdot \operatorname{supp}(x) \subseteq \pi \cdot \Gamma \subseteq \Delta$ , so  $F(\rho)(x) \in F(\Delta)$ .

Since the choice of extension is irrelevant, it is easy to see that F is a functor. Thus, we obtain a function  $I_* : \mathbf{Nom} \to [\mathbb{I}, \mathbf{Set}]$ . This function extends to a functor as follows. Consider an equivariant function  $f : X \to Y$ . Define a natural transformation  $\eta : I_*(X) \to I_*(Y)$  by setting  $\eta_{\Gamma} = f \upharpoonright I_*(X)(\Gamma)$ . This is well defined because, for any  $x \in I_*(X)(\Gamma)$ ,

$$\operatorname{supp}(f(x)) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(x) \subseteq \Gamma$$

Naturality follows from the equivariance of f.

**Proposition 2.** The functor  $I_* : Nom \to [\mathbb{I}, Set]$  is full and faithful.

*Proof.* 1.  $I_*$  is faithful: This is essentially due to the fact that, for any  $X \in \mathbf{Nom}$ , every  $x \in X$  is in some fiber of  $I_*(X)$ . Indeed, let  $f, f' : X \rightrightarrows Y$  be equivariant maps such that f = f'. Then, for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$f(x) = I_*(f)_{\operatorname{supp}(x)}(x) = I_*(f')_{\operatorname{supp}(x)}(x) = f'(x).$$

2.  $I_*$  is full: Fix  $\alpha : I_*(X) \to I_*(Y)$  in  $[\mathbb{I}, \mathbf{Set}]$ . Let  $f : X \to Y$  be the function  $x \mapsto \alpha_{\mathrm{supp}(x)}(x)$ . To see that it is equivariant, fix a finite permutation  $\pi$  and a point  $x \in X$ . Notice that  $\pi$  restricts to an injection  $\rho : \mathrm{supp}(x) \to \mathrm{supp}(\pi \cdot x)$ , since  $\pi \cdot \mathrm{supp}(x) = \mathrm{supp}(\pi \cdot x)$ . The associated naturality square implies that  $f(\pi \cdot x) = \pi \cdot f(x)$ . It remains to show that  $I_*(f) = \alpha$ , so fix  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{I}$  and  $x \in I_*(X)(\Gamma)$  and let

 $\iota : \operatorname{supp}(x) \to \Gamma$  be the obvious inclusion. Then,

$$I_*(f)(x) = f(x),$$
  

$$= \alpha_{supp(x)}(x),$$
  

$$= id_{\mathbb{A}} \cdot (\alpha_{supp(x)}(x)),$$
  

$$= I_*(Y)(\iota)(\alpha_{supp(x)}(x)),$$
  

$$= \alpha_{\Gamma}(I_*(X)(\iota)(x)),$$
  

$$= \alpha_{\Gamma}(id_{\mathbb{A}} \cdot x),$$
  

$$= \alpha_{\Gamma}(x).$$

# 2 The Schanuel Topos

## 2.1 A Site of Contexts and Renamings

Let  $F : \mathbb{I} \to \mathbf{Set}, \Gamma \in \mathbb{I}$  and  $x \in F(\Gamma)$ . We say that a subcontext  $\Delta \xrightarrow{\rho} \Gamma$  of  $\Gamma$  supports x whenever renamings of  $\Gamma$  that agree on  $\Delta$  act equally on x:

$$\rho_1 \circ \rho = \rho_2 \circ \rho \implies \rho_1 \cdot x = \rho_2 \cdot x$$

for every  $\Upsilon$  and  $\rho_1, \rho_2 : \Gamma \rightrightarrows \Upsilon$  in  $\mathbb{I}$ . For example,  $\rho$  supports every x in the image of  $F(\rho)$ , since then  $\rho_1 \cdot x = \rho_1 \cdot \rho \cdot y = \rho_2 \cdot \rho \cdot y = \rho_2 \cdot x$  for some  $y \in F(\Delta)$  whenever  $\rho_1 \circ \rho = \rho_2 \circ \rho$ .

If  $\Delta \xrightarrow{\rho} \Gamma$  supports x, we would like to say that x lies in the fiber of  $\Delta$  (modulo permutation of names). In other words, we would like there to be a canonical way of strengthening x to  $\Delta$  along  $\rho$ . The presheaves on  $\mathbb{I}^{\text{op}}$  for which this is always possible are the sheaves for the atomic topology on  $\mathbb{I}^{\text{op}}$ , and the category of all such sheaves is called the *Schanuel Topos*.

Recall that the *atomic topology* on  $\mathbb{I}^{\text{op}}$  is given by the family of all nonempty sieves on objects of  $\mathbb{I}^{\text{op}}$ . This family is a Grothendieck topology if, and only if, we can complete any cospan in  $\mathbb{I}^{\text{op}}$  to a commutative square or, equivalently, if we can complete any span in  $\mathbb{I}$  to a commutative square, but this is indeed the case:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Upsilon & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \Gamma \\ \stackrel{\rho}{\downarrow} & \stackrel{\downarrow}{\downarrow} {}^{\iota_2} \\ \Delta & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & (\Delta - \operatorname{im} \rho) + \Upsilon + (\Gamma - \operatorname{im} \sigma) \end{array}$$

where  $\iota_1 = id_{\Delta-\operatorname{im}\rho} + \rho^{-1}$  and  $i_2 = id_{\Gamma-\operatorname{im}\sigma} + \sigma^{-1}$ .

We say that every morphism in  $\mathbb{I}^{\text{op}}$  covers because the atomic topology on  $\mathbb{I}^{\text{op}}$  is generated by the singleton families of morphisms. To see this, consider a nonempty sieve S on  $\Delta \in \mathbb{I}^{\text{op}}$ . Since it is nonempty, it contains a map  $\Delta \stackrel{\rho}{\longrightarrow} \Gamma$  in  $\mathbb{I}$  such that

$$|\Gamma| = \min\{|\Theta| : \Theta \in \mathbb{I}, S(\Theta) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Consider any  $\Theta \in \mathbb{I}$  and  $\sigma \in S(\Theta)$ . Then,

$$\begin{split} |\Gamma - \operatorname{im} \rho| &= |\Gamma| - |\operatorname{im} \rho|, \\ |\Gamma| - |\Delta|, \\ &\leq |\Theta| - |\Delta|, \\ &= |\Theta| - |\operatorname{im} \sigma|, \\ &= |\Theta - \operatorname{im} \sigma|, \end{split}$$

so  $\sigma$  factors through  $\rho$  and, consequently,  $\sigma$  is in the sieve generated by  $\rho$ . Conversely, if  $\sigma : \Delta \to \Theta$  is a map in  $\mathbb{I}$  that factors through  $\rho$ , then  $\sigma = \mathsf{y}(\tau)(\rho)$  for some  $\tau : \Gamma \to \Theta$  in I. Since  $\rho \in S(\Gamma)$  and S is a subpresheaf of  $\mathsf{y}(\Delta)$ , it follows that  $\sigma \in S(\Theta)$ .

Notice that the notion of support corresponds to that of *matching family* for the atomic topology. More precisely, for each  $F : \mathbb{I} \to \mathbf{Set}$  and  $\Delta \xrightarrow{\rho} \Gamma$  in  $\mathbb{I}$ , we have a bijection

$$\operatorname{Nat}(S, F) \cong \{ x \in F(\Gamma) \mid \rho \text{ supports } x \},\$$

where S is the sieve generated by  $\rho$ , as in Yoneda's Lemma. Explicitly, if  $x \in \Gamma$  is supported by  $\rho$ , then we let

$$\phi_{\Theta}(\sigma) = \tau \cdot x,$$

where  $\tau : \Delta \to \Theta$  is such that  $\tau \circ \rho = \sigma$ . Notice that  $\tau$  exists by definition of S, and the meaning of  $\phi_{\Theta}(\sigma)$  is independent of  $\tau$  precisely because  $\rho$  supports x.

Conversely, if  $\phi: S \to F$ , then we let  $x = \phi_{\Gamma}(\rho)$ , which is supported by  $\rho$  because  $\phi$  is natural. Indeed, for any  $\tau: \Gamma \to \Theta$ , naturality implies that  $\tau \cdot x = \phi_{\Theta}(\tau \circ \rho)$ , so that  $\tau \circ \rho$  determines x.

These constructions are mutual inverses. Indeed, let  $x \in F(\Gamma)$  supported by  $\rho$  and let  $\phi$  be the matching family corresponding to x. Then,  $\phi_{\Gamma}(\rho) = id_{\Gamma} \cdot x = x$ . Now let  $x = \psi_{\Gamma}(\rho)$  for some matching family  $\psi$ . Then,  $\phi_{\Theta}(\sigma) = \tau \cdot x = \tau \cdot \psi_{\Gamma}(\rho) = \psi_{\Theta}(\tau \circ \rho) = \psi_{\Theta}(\sigma)$ , where  $\sigma = \tau \circ \rho$ .

#### 2.2 The Schanuel Topos

We have defined the Schanuel Topos as the category of sheaves on  $\mathbb{I}^{\text{op}}$  for the atomic topology. Next, we characterize its objects in more detail.

Fix a functor  $F : \mathbb{I} \to \mathbf{Set}$ . Notice, first, that the *amalgamations* of a matching family  $\phi : S \to F$  on the sieve S generated by  $\Delta \xrightarrow{\rho} \Gamma$  correspond precisely to the preimages

of  $x = \phi_{\Gamma}(\rho)$  under the action of  $\rho$ . To see this, let  $\psi$  be an amalgamation of  $\phi$  and  $y = \psi_{\Delta}(id_{\Delta})$ . Then,  $\rho \cdot y = \psi_{\Gamma}(\rho \circ id_{\Delta}) = \phi_{\Gamma}(\rho) = x$ . Conversely, let  $\rho \cdot y = x$  and  $\psi = (-) \cdot y$ . Then,  $\psi_{\Theta}(\sigma) = \sigma \cdot y = \tau \cdot \rho \cdot y = \tau \cdot x = \phi_{\Theta}(\sigma)$ , where  $\sigma = \tau \circ \rho$ . These two mappings are inverses. Indeed,  $\psi_{\Delta}(id_{\Delta}) = id_{\Delta} \cdot y$ . In the other direction,  $(-) \cdot \psi_{\Delta}(id_{\Delta}) = \psi$ , since  $\sigma \cdot \psi_{\Delta}(id_{\Delta}) = \psi_{\Theta}(\sigma \circ id_{\Delta})$  for every  $\sigma : \Delta \to \Theta$ .

Now, recall that F satisfies the separation condition for S if, and only if, every matching family on S has at most one amalgamation. In particular,  $F(\rho)$  must be injective (recall that  $\rho$  supports everything in the image of  $F(\rho)$ ). Recall also that F satisfies the sheaf condition for S if, and only if, every matching family on S has a unique amalgamation. In particular, the points supported by  $\rho$  must lie in the image of  $F(\rho)$ . But if that is the case, injectivity is not only necessary but sufficient for separation. Consequently, F satisfies the sheaf condition for S if, and only if,  $F(\rho)$  is injective and its image contains every point supported by  $\rho$ . In other words,

**Proposition 3.**  $F : \mathbb{I} \to \mathbf{Set}$  is a sheaf for the atomic topology on  $\mathbb{I}^{\mathrm{op}}$  if, and only if, whenever  $\Delta \xrightarrow{\rho} \Gamma$  in  $\mathbb{I}$  supports  $x \in F(\Gamma)$ , there is a unique  $y \in F(\Delta)$  such that  $\rho \cdot y = x$ .

Next, we provide another characterization of sheaves that will come in handy when describing the essential image of  $I_* : \mathbf{Nom} \to [\mathbb{I}, \mathbf{Set}]$ . It corresponds to the fact that the finite supports of a point in a Perm A-set are closed under binary intersection.

**Proposition 4.**  $F : \mathbb{I} \to \mathbf{Set}$  is a sheaf for the atomic topology on  $\mathbb{I}^{\mathrm{op}}$  if, and only if, F is pullback-preserving.

*Proof.* In the proof, we shall make use of the fact that the inclusion of  $\mathbb{I}$  into **Set** creates pullbacks.

Suppose that F is pullback-preserving. Suppose, furthermore, that  $\Delta \xrightarrow{\rho} \Gamma$  in  $\mathbb{I}$  supports  $x \in F(\Gamma)$ . Consider the pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta & & \stackrel{\rho}{\longrightarrow} & \Gamma \\ \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \iota_2 \\ \Gamma & \stackrel{\iota_1}{\longrightarrow} & (\Gamma - \operatorname{im} \rho) + \Delta + (\Gamma - \operatorname{im} \rho) \end{array}$$

where  $\iota_1$  and  $\iota_2$  are defined as before. Since F preserves pullbacks and  $\rho$  supports x, there is a unique  $y \in F(\Delta)$  such that  $\rho \cdot y = x$ . Hence, F is a sheaf.

Conversely, suppose that F is a sheaf. Fix a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta & \stackrel{\rho}{\longrightarrow} & \Gamma \\ \sigma & & \downarrow^{\tau} \\ \Theta & \stackrel{}{\longrightarrow} & \Upsilon \end{array}$$

Fix also  $x \in F(\Gamma)$  and  $y \in F(\Theta)$  such that  $\tau \cdot x = \xi \cdot y$ . We shall show that  $\rho$  supports x, so that there is a unique  $z \in F(\Delta)$  such that  $\rho \cdot z = x$ . Notice that such a z also satisfies  $\sigma \cdot z = y$ , since  $\xi \cdot \sigma \cdot z = \tau \cdot \rho \cdot z = \tau \cdot z = \xi \cdot y$  and  $F(\xi)$  is injective.

Now fix two maps  $\alpha, \beta : \Gamma \rightrightarrows \Gamma'$  such that  $\alpha \circ \rho = \beta \circ \rho$ . We claim that  $\alpha \cdot x = \beta \cdot x$ . To see this, notice that we can extend the previous diagram as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta & \stackrel{\rho}{\longrightarrow} \Gamma & \stackrel{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} \Xi \\ \downarrow & & \\ \sigma & & \\ \phi & & \\ \Theta & \stackrel{\gamma}{\longrightarrow} \Upsilon & \stackrel{\gamma}{\longrightarrow} (\Upsilon - \operatorname{im} \tau) + \Xi \end{array}$$

where  $\iota \circ \alpha = \gamma \circ \tau$ ,  $\iota \circ \beta = \gamma \circ \tau$  and  $\gamma \circ \xi = \delta \circ \xi$ . Here,  $\iota$  is the coproduct inclusion of  $\Xi$  and  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  are defined as follows:

$$\gamma(a) = \begin{cases} \alpha(\tau^{-1}(a)) & a \in \operatorname{im} \tau \\ a & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$\delta(a) = \begin{cases} \beta(\tau^{-1}(a)) & a \in \operatorname{im} \tau \\ a & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then,  $\iota \cdot \alpha \cdot x = \gamma \cdot \tau \cdot x = \gamma \cdot \xi \cdot y = \delta \cdot \xi \cdot y = \delta \cdot \tau \cdot x = \iota \cdot \beta \cdot x$ . Since  $F(\iota)$  is injective,  $\alpha \cdot x = \beta \cdot x$ , as needed.

## 2.3 The Left-Adjoint

**Proposition 5.** The inclusion  $I_* : \mathbf{Nom} \hookrightarrow [\mathbb{I}, \mathbf{Set}]$  has a left-adjoint, which we denote by  $I^* : [\mathbb{I}, \mathbf{Set}] \to \mathbf{Nom}.$ 

*Proof.* Every presheaf F on  $\mathbb{I}^{\text{op}}$  gives rise to a nominal set X:

1. The wide subcategory of  $\mathbb{I}$  consisting of the canonical inclusions is a directed set. Take X to be the colimit of the restriction of F to this directed set. Because it is directed, the colimit can be explicitly described as the quotient of  $\sum_{\Gamma \in \mathbb{A}} F(\Gamma)$  by the equivalence relation

$$(\Gamma, x) \sim (\Delta, y) \iff (\exists \Upsilon \supseteq \Gamma \cup \Delta) F(\Gamma \hookrightarrow \Upsilon)(x) = F(\Delta \hookrightarrow \Upsilon)(y).$$

We will write  $[\Gamma, x]$  for the equivalence class of  $(\Gamma, x)$ .

2. Take the action of  $\pi \in \operatorname{Perm} \mathbb{A}$  on  $[\Gamma, x]$  to be

$$\pi \cdot [\Gamma, x] = [\pi \cdot \Gamma, F(\pi \restriction \Gamma)(x)].$$

This action is well defined. Indeed, suppose that  $(\Gamma, x) \sim (\Delta, y)$ . Let  $\Upsilon \supseteq \Gamma \cup \Delta$  such that  $F(\Gamma \hookrightarrow \Upsilon)(x) = F(\Delta \hookrightarrow \Upsilon)(y)$ . Then, we have that



and similarly for  $\Delta$ , so that  $(\pi \cdot \Gamma, F(\pi \restriction \Gamma)(x)) \sim (\pi \cdot \Delta, F(\pi \restriction \Delta)(x)).$ 

3. Let  $[\Gamma, x] \in X$  and suppose  $(\forall a \in \Gamma)\pi(a) = a$ . Then,  $\pi \cdot A = A$  and  $\pi \upharpoonright \Gamma = id_{\Gamma}$ , so  $\pi \cdot [\Gamma, x] = [\Gamma, x]$ . Hence,  $\Gamma$  supports  $[\Gamma, x]$ .

It is not hard to show that the family of maps  $x \in F(\Gamma) \mapsto [\Gamma, x]$  indexed by  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{I}$  gives rise to a natural transformation  $\eta_F: F \to I_*(X)$ . We claim that, for any nominal set Y and natural transformation  $\alpha: F \to I_*(Y)$ , there is a unique equivariant function  $\hat{\alpha}: X \to Y$ such that  $\alpha = I_*(\hat{\alpha}) \circ \eta_F$ :





For uniqueness, notice that, if such a  $\hat{\alpha}$  existed, then we'd have

. .

$$\hat{\alpha}[\Gamma, x] = (I_*(\hat{\alpha}))_{\Gamma}((\eta_F)_{\Gamma}(x)),$$
$$= \alpha_{\Gamma}(x)$$

For existence, notice that such an assignment is well defined, for if  $(\Gamma, x) \sim (\Delta, y)$ , then, for some  $\Upsilon \supseteq \Gamma \cup \Delta$ ,

$$\begin{split} \alpha_{\Gamma}(x) &= id_{\mathbb{A}} \cdot \alpha_{\Gamma}(x), \\ &= I_{*}(Y)(\Gamma \hookrightarrow \Upsilon)(\alpha_{\Gamma}(x)), \\ &= \alpha_{\Upsilon}(F(\Gamma \hookrightarrow \Upsilon)(x)), \\ &= \alpha_{\Upsilon}(F(\Delta \hookrightarrow \Upsilon)(y)), \\ &= I_{*}(Y)(\Delta \hookrightarrow \Upsilon)(\alpha_{\Delta}(y)), \\ &= id_{\mathbb{A}} \cdot \alpha_{\Delta}(x), \\ &= \alpha_{\Delta}(x). \end{split}$$

Notice, furthermore, that it is equivariant, since for any  $\pi \in \operatorname{Perm} \mathbb{A}$ ,

$$\pi \cdot \alpha_{\Gamma}(x) = I_{*}(Y)(\pi \upharpoonright \Gamma)(\alpha_{\Gamma}(x)),$$
  
=  $\alpha_{\pi \cdot \Gamma}(F(\pi \upharpoonright)(x)).$ 

#### **Proposition 6.** Nom is equivalent to the Schanuel topos.

*Proof.* It suffices to show that the Schanuel topos is the essential image of  $I_* : \mathbf{Nom} \hookrightarrow [\mathbb{I}, \mathbf{Set}]$  which, as we have seen, is full and faithful. So fix a sheaf F. Let  $X = I^*(F)$ . We claim that  $\eta_F$  is an isomorphism. Fix  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{I}$ .

Notice, first, that  $(\eta_F)_{\Gamma}$  is injective. Indeed, if  $(\Gamma, x) \sim (\Gamma, x')$  for some  $x, x' \in F(\Gamma)$ , then  $F(\Gamma \hookrightarrow \Upsilon)(x) = F(\Gamma \hookrightarrow \Upsilon)(x')$  for some  $\Upsilon \supseteq \Gamma$ ; since F is a sheaf, it follows that x = x'.

Next, we show that  $(\eta_F)_{\Gamma}$  is surjective. Suppose  $[\Delta, y]$  is supported by  $\Gamma$ . We also know that  $[\Delta, y]$  is supported by  $\Delta$ . Hence,  $[\Delta, y]$  is supported by  $\Delta \cap \Gamma$ . Let  $\rho : (\Delta - \Gamma) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Upsilon$  with  $\Upsilon$  disjoint from  $\Delta$ . Define  $\sigma : \Delta \to \Delta \cup \Upsilon$  as follows:

$$\sigma(a) = \begin{cases} a & a \in \Gamma, \\ \rho(a) & a \notin \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

and let  $\pi$  be a finite permutation extending  $\sigma$ . Then, we obtain the following pullback square:



It's image under F is, therefore, a pullback square. Notice that

$$\begin{split} [\Delta \cup \Upsilon, F(\iota)(y)] &= [\Delta, y], & \text{by definition,} \\ &= \pi \cdot [\Delta, y], & \pi \text{ fixes } \Delta \cap \Gamma \text{ pointwise,} \\ &= [\pi \cdot \Delta, F(\pi \upharpoonright \Delta)(y)], & \text{by definition,} \\ &= [\Delta, F(\sigma)(y)], & \text{by definition.} \end{split}$$

Since  $(\eta_F)_{\Gamma}$  is injective,  $F(\iota)(y) = F(\sigma)(y)$  and, consequently, there is a unique  $z \in F(\Delta \cap \Gamma)$ such that  $F(\Delta \cap \Gamma \hookrightarrow \Delta)(z) = y$ . Now let  $x = F(\Delta \cap \Gamma \hookrightarrow \Gamma)(z)$ . Then,

$$\begin{split} F(\Gamma \hookrightarrow \Delta \cup \Gamma)(x) &= F(\Gamma \hookrightarrow \Delta \cup \Gamma)(F(\Delta \cap \Gamma \hookrightarrow \Gamma)(z)), \\ &= F(\Delta \hookrightarrow \Delta \cup \Gamma)(F(\Delta \cap \Gamma \hookrightarrow \Delta)(z)), \\ &= F(\Delta \hookrightarrow \Delta \cup \Gamma)(y), \end{split}$$

so  $[\Gamma, x] = [\Delta, y]$  indeed.

# **3** References

## References

- [1] P. Johnstone (2002), Sketches of an Elephant: A Topos Theory Compendium, Vol. 1. Oxford University Press.
- [2] S. Mac Lane and I. Moerdijk (1994), Sheaves in Geometry and Logic: A First Introduction to Topos Theory. Springer New York.
- [3] A. Pitts (2013), Nominal Sets: Name and Symmetry in Computer Science. Cambridge University Press.
- [4] J. Sterling and D. Morrison (2016), "Syntax and Semantics of Abstract Binding Trees". arXiv:1601.06298